

### ion21Swiss - ion21swiss.com



# Global team optimization portal for forecasted decision making



Operating manual for fair decision-making through a weighted-voting system for strengthening interpersonal trust and team spirit



#### Content:

ion21Swiss fair-play team cooperation	3
ion21Swiss decision-making Portal	4
ion21Swiss motivation ACI-tables	5
ion21Swiss sharing ACI-votes	5
ion21Swiss M21 Grouping	6
ion21Swiss Parameters setting	7
ion21Swiss ACP-proposals	7
ion21Swiss Processes	8
ion21Swiss Voting rounds	9
ion21Swiss ACI-tables	10
ion21Swiss ACI-votes	11
ion21Swiss M21 Cell Architecture	12
ion21Swiss RUNNING step by step	15
ion21Swiss PORTAL flowchart	16
ion21Swiss INFO flowchart	17
ion21Swiss OPTI flowchart	18
ion21Swiss NAVI flowchart	19
Appendix – A : ion21Swiss PARAMETERS	20
Appendix – B : ion21Swiss RUNTIMES	21

### Purpose and motivation:

- Revealing the full team potential
- Sustainable development of society
- Respect to the local and global priorities



	А	В	С	D	E
1		Team N	AVIGATION p	process	
2					
3		From - To:	04.10.2016	07.10	2016
4		1st VOTING	ROUND	<u>1stR</u>	OUND
5		Shared vote:	s in points (+/-	1, +/-2,	+/-3)
6		Timespan		value	unit
7		- Acute	R1A	3	hours
8		- Normal	R1N	3	days
9		- Precise	R1P	1	weeks
10		Second Corr	ection of two	ACP-pro	posals
11					
12		From - To:	07.10.2016	10.10	2016
13		2nd VOTING		2ndR	OUND
14		Two votes in	points (+/-4, -	+/-5, +/-	6)
15		Timespan		value	unit
16		- Acute	R2A	1	hours
17		- Normal	R2N	3	days
18		- Precise	R2P	1	weeks
19		Third Correc	tion of one AC	P-prop	osal
20					
21		From - To:	10.10.2016	13.10	2016
22		<b>3rd VOTING</b>	ROUND	<u>3rdR</u>	OUND
23		One vote in			
24		Timespan		value	unit
25		- Acute	R3A	1	hours
26		- Normal	R3N	3	days
27		- Precise	R3P	2	weeks
28		Accepting o	r refusing of A	CP-prop	osal



# ion21Swiss fair-play team cooperation

The perfect solution to boardroom decision making, group-level decision making, and cooperation-conflict resolutions.

**ion21Swiss** is an **advanced Swiss cooperation** model for forecasted team decision-making, using 21 shared votes, weighted by *motivation factors* of activity, competence and investment, boosting fair-play cooperation with *navigation dimensions* of better perspective, feelings and results.

ion21Swiss is a transparent ION-cooperation based on three fair-play processes:

- ion21Info team Information 1st fair play right
- ion21**Opti** team **O**ptimization 2nd fair play right
- ion21Navi team Navigation 3rd fair play right

ion21Swiss uses forecasted optimization ACP-proposals qualified by:

- Analysis of the strategic, economic and ecological context
- Conformity with given navigational, local and global priorities
- Prognosis of general impacts and forecasted values of key parameters

#### Benefits:

- provides a platform of team decision making that is ethical through a weighted-voting system that enables fair play rules
- improves workplace atmosphere through reducing interpersonal conflicts and releasing social tensions, better feelings about team decisions
- strengthens interpersonal trust and team spirit amongst team members

### More about the ion21Swiss system:

- **parametric system** that allows you to optimize the setting of functional parameters for a specific location, time and project
- **iterative system** where the solution of the problem is sought in sequential iterative steps with the participation of all team members
- **feedback system** with basic processes Information, Optimization and Navigation implemented by the ion21Portal
- **expert system** where voters can follow expert discussions, share their competency votes to preferred experts team members
- **universal system** that includes different decision-making models, according to the appropriate parameters setting and votes sharing



### ion21Swiss decision-making Portal

4



- Team OPTI = **O**ptimization ٠
- Team NAVI = Navigation •

connected by the feedback discussions and voting rounds

#### **Optimization proposals** qualified by **ACP** – supplements:

= SPs

- = PPPrimary proposal
- Analysis

Conformity

Prognosis

- Secondary proposals
  - Navigation proposal = NP
- submitted by team members to optimize the cooperation parameters and team strategy

۲

**Navigation** process in **R1 + R2 + R3** voting Rounds:

R1 voting = 1st Round

•

- (-3) NO (-2) NO (-1) NO (0) none (+1) YES (+2) YES (+3) YES
- R2 voting = **2**nd **R**ound R3 voting = 3rd Round
- (-6) NO (-5) NO (-4) NO (0) none (+4) YES (+5) YES (+6) YES • (-**7**) NO (+7) YES

R1 and R2 voting on supported SPs with 7 degrees of freedom, R3 voting Yes/No on the approval of the NP

SP2				R1	-30		10		40	R1				SP2
<u>Info</u>	R2	-5					9					14	R2	
R3		NO			NO		19		YES			YES		R3
0	0	1	0	10	0	0	Σ	0	20	0	2	0	1	2
-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
				10									1	1
		1							20		2			1

**ION 21** INFO OPTI



### ion21Swiss motivation ACI-tables

#### ACI – motivation factors:

- Team ACT = Activity ٠
- Team COM = **C**ompetence Team INV = Investment
- Team ACTIVITY table • Team COMPETENCY table
- Team INVESTMENT table
- **7vA** votes of Activity
- **7vC** votes of Competency
- **7vl** votes of Investment

7vA + 7vC + 7vI = 21 votes are shared among team members with respect to data registered in ACI-tables

1	SeN:		Team ACTIVITY ta	ble														Sum:	465.00
м	ember	Item	What - With - Where - When				How lo	ong	1 - norr	nal <u>-</u>	1-;	agree	-	vision			Resu	ılt ACT	
ID	Code	N	What was done	With whom	Where	When	From	То	Hours	Rate	EHours	<u>SV1</u>	<u>SV2</u>	SV3	SV4	SV5	SV6	Avg	RHours
1	YaJo	1	Creation of a concept	MiDo		2016			10.00	1	10.00	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10.00
1	YaJo	2	Established cooperation			2017			2.00	1	2.00	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2.00

З	3	SeN:		Team COMPETENCY table											Sum:	272
Ν	Ver	nber	Item	Competence Item	Duration	Time	0 - F	ields:			•	4 - P	ractice	•	сом	CYears
IE	)	Code	Ν	Specification	From - To	Years	F-1	F-2	F-3	F-4	F-5	Туре	GenC	FieC	IsField	CYears
3	3	MiDo	1	Primary school in Tosanovice and Hnojnik (cz)	1970-78	8						1	1	1	0	8
3	3	MiDo	2	High school in Cesky Tesin (cz)	1978-82	4						2	4	6	0	16

1	1	SeN:		Team INV	ESTMENT table	•				Euro Sum:	1310.36	Refund Sum:	0.00	Force Sum:	1310.36
1	Mei	mber	Item		Date - Purp	ose - Accour	nt - Entry -	Note	CHF	- INVes	tment	Refu	nded Investr	nent	INVforce
11	D	Code	N	Date	Purpose	Account nui	Entry num	Note	Currency	Amount	Euro Value	Date	Euro Value	Percentage	EuroINV
1	1	YaJo	1	01.06.2017	Google Adwords			2000 Rs was offered by g	INR	2000	27.52				27.52
1	1	YaJo	2	03.06.2017	Gemmi Lodge pre	CH80 0878	4016 2266	20% 5n 2p (CHF 35x5x2x0	CHF	70	64.57				64.57

### ion21Swiss sharing ACI-votes

### ACI – shared votes:

- Team ACT = **A**ctivity
- Team COM = **C**ompetence •
- Team INV = Investment •
- **7vA** votes of Activity
- **7vC** votes of Competency • **7vl** votes of Investment
- = 3vAfree + 3vAtied + 1vAfixed
- = 3vCfree + 3vCtied + 1vCfixed
- = 3vlfree + 3vltied + 1vlfixed

#### 3free + 3tied + 1fixed = 7 votes for each motivation factor of Activity, Competence and Investment

Me	ember		A	CTivity	votes	s shari	ng		A	CT Vote	s
ID	Code	vA1	vA2	vA3	vA4	vA5	vA6	vA7	Shared	ByTab	GAP
1	YaJo	2	2	3	3	3	3	1	8	10	-2
2	GeLo	1	1	3	1	3	3	2	5	0	5
3	MiDo	2	2	1	1	1	1	3	8	10	-2

Me	ember		CON	/Ipete	ncy vo	tes sh	aring		C	OM Vote	es
ID	Code	vC1	vC2	vC3	vC4	vC5	vC6	vC7	Shared	ByTab	GAP
1	YaJo	2	2	3	2	3	3	1	4	4	0
2	GeLo	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	8	4	4
3	MiDo	2	2	1	2	1	2	3	9	13	-4

Me	ember		INV	estme	nt vo	tes sh	aring			NV Vote	s
ID	Code	vl1	vl2	v/3	vl4	vI5	vl6	vI7	Shared	ByTab	GAP
1	YaJo	2	3	2	3	2	3	1	8	6	2
2	GeLo	1	3	3	1	1	1	2	7	9	-2
3	MiDo	2	2	2	1	1	1	3	6	3	3



•



### ion21Swiss M21 Grouping





Coefficients of COMPETENCY

High-school general - HGC

High-school in a field - HFC

University general - UGC

University in a field - UFC

Practice general - PGC

Practice in a field - PFC

Activity SUPERVISORS

Number of members - SVM

### ion21Swiss Parameters setting

#### Proposal's Support LEVELS

- Primary proposal PPL
- Secondary proposal SPL
- Navigation proposal NPL

#### **Decision-making MODES**

- Acute
- Normal
- Precise

#### Primary Proposal Support

- Primary Proposal Acute PPA
- Primary Proposal Normal PPN
- Primary Proposal Precise PPP

#### Secondary Proposal Support

- Secondary Proposal Acute SPA
- Secondary Proposal Normal SPN
- Secondary Proposal Precise SPP

#### Sharing Competencies

- Sharing Competencies Acute SCA
- Sharing Competenc. Normal SCN
- Sharing Competenc. Precise SCP

#### Voting Round 1st

- Voting Round 1st Acute R1A
- Voting Round 1st Normal R1N
- Voting Round 1st Precise R1P

#### Voting Round 2nd

- Voting Round 2nd Acute R2A
- Voting Round 2nd Normal R2N
- Voting Round 2nd Precise R2P
   Voting Round 3rd
- Voting Round 3rd Acute R3A
- Voting Round 3rd Normal R3N
- Voting Round 3rd Precise R3P

For the detailed description of the ion21Swiss Parameters see Appendix-A.

### ion21Swiss ACP-proposals

### ANALYSIS

- PP: Strategic aspects, Strategic context, Strategic impacts
- SP: Economical aspects, Economic context, Economical impacts
- NP: Ecological aspects, Ecological context, Environmental impacts

### CONFORMITY

- PP: Navigation dimensions: Better Perspective, Better Feelings, Better Results
- SP: Local priorities, Current status, Future impacts
- NP: Global priorities, Current status, Future impacts

### PROGNOSIS

- PP: General local forecast, General global impacts, General key parameters
- SP: Specific local forecast, Specific global impacts, Specific key parameters
- NP: Key parameters, Forecasted values, Tolerance intervals

### Duration of the term - SVT

#### Refunded INVESTMENT force

Refunded Investment Force - RIF

#### **Project MOTIVATION Parameters**

- DISPOSAL rights
- TERM lengths
- WAGES policy

### Primary proposal

Ana: Strategic analysis Con: Navigation dimensions Pro: General forecast

### Secondary proposal

Ana: Economical analysis Con: Local priorities Pro: Specific forecast

### Navigation proposal

Ana: Ecological analysis Con: Global priorities Pro: Parametric forecast



# **INFO** – Team Information Process



### The 1st Fair Play Right: Project info sharing

Sharing relevant data connected with a project among all team members. The knowledge base is needed for drafting of optimization proposals providing the best quality results. Info structure: Title, Author, Abstract, Report, Links, Debate

**Thematic Debate**: Forum on the ion21Swiss Portal Thematic discussion around project issues for sharing ideas.

### Activity + Investment votes sharing

7 Activity Votes - based on records in the Activity Table 7 Investment Votes - respecting data in the Investment Table

### **OPTI** – Team Optimization Process



The 2nd Fair Play Right: Optimization proposals Each member of the ion21Swiss team may submit a proposal to optimize the team's strategy or parameters of cooperation.

**ACP-proposal**: Analyse + Conformity + Prognosis Submitted proposals are qualified by the ACP-supplements. **Primary proposal** - Strategic Ana, Navigation Con, General Pro Secondary proposal - Economical Ana, Local Con, Specific Pro

**Objective and Expert discussion**: on the ion21Portal

**Competency** votes sharing 7 Competency Votes - based on data in the Competency Table

### NAVI – Team Navigation Process



The 3rd Fair Play Right: Team decision-making All team members take part in the decision-making on strategic guidelines and cooperation parameters.

Voting Rounds: First R1 + Second R2 + Third R3 Secondary proposals are selected and merged in 3 rounds. Navigation prop - Ecological Ana, Global Con, Parametric Pro

Proposal's Corrections: 1st C1 + 2nd C2 + 3rd C3 First **C1** of supported Secondary proposals before R1 round Second C2 of two preferred variants before R2 voting round Third C3 of the Navigation proposal before R3 voting round





# R1 – the 1st Voting Round

**SHARED VOTES** of Activity + Competence + Investment can be placed graded with **7 degrees of freedom** on points:

- (-3) SURELY NO with HIGH certainty weight
  - (-2) RATHER NO with MIDDLE certainty weight
    - (-1) MAYBE NO with LOW certainty weight
    - (0) NONE with ZERO decision weight
  - (+1) MAYBE YES with LOW certainty weight
  - (+2) RATHER YES with MIDDLE certainty weight
  - (+3) SURELY YES with HIGH certainty weight

Using shared votes during the 1st voting round team members **select two preferred variants** from all supported **Secondary proposals**. One or more proposal's variants can be graded either supported or declined. To give feedback to others decisions should be justified by **reasons explaining pros or cos**.

# R2 – the 2nd Voting Round



# **TWO VOTES** – voting with equal weight

can be placed graded with 7 degrees of freedom on points:

- (-6) SURELY NO with HIGH certainty weight
- (-5) RATHER NO with MIDDLE certainty weight
- (-4) MAYBE NO with LOW certainty weight
- (0) NONE with ZERO decision weight
- (+4) MAYBE YES with LOW certainty weight
- (+5) RATHER YES with MIDDLE certainty weight
- (+6) SURELY YES with HIGH certainty weight

Using TWO VOTES during the 2nd Voting round team members **select the winning variant** from two preferred **Secondary proposals**. One or both proposal's variants can be either supported or declined. To give feedback to others decisions should be justified by **reasons explaining pros or cos**.



### R3 – the 3rd Voting Round

### **ONE VOTE** – voting with equal weight

can be placed graded with 3 degrees of freedom on points:

- (-7) NO N
  - O Navigation proposal unwanted
  - (0) NONE with ZERO decision weight
- (+7) YES

•

-7) YES - Navigation proposal wanted

With an equal weight of ONE VOTE during the 3rd Voting round team members **accept or refuse the Navigation proposal**. Sum of votes used in the previous rounds are not taking into account. The Navigation proposal is **accepted** if it reaches the percentage level of compliance given by the ion21Swiss **parameter NPL**.



# Team ACTIVITY table

1	SeN:		Team ACTIVITY ta	ble														Sum:	465.00
M	Iember Item What - With - Where - When					How lo	ng	1 - norn	nal	1 - ;	agree	-	vision			Resu	ult ACT		
ID	Code	N	What was done	With whom	Where	When	From	То	Hours	Rate	EHours	<u>SV1</u>	<u>SV2</u>	SV3	SV4	SV5	SV6	Avg	RHours
1	YaJo	1	Creation of a concept	MiDo		2016			10.00	1	10.00	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10.00
1	YaJo	2	Established cooperation			2017			2.00	1	2.00	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2.00

Те	am AC	TIVITY ta	able			
Main	Data Prot	ection		I IC	)N 2	21
M	ember:	• Set:	-			
Me	ember	ACT	ivity	A	CT Vote	s
ID	Code	RHours	ACT %	Shared	ByTab	GAP
1	YaJo	465.00	49.68	8	10	-2
2	GeLo	6.00	0.64	5	0	5
3	MiDo	465.00	49.68	8	10	-2
4				0	0	0

What - With - Where - When was doneHow long did it take: From - To - HoursEffort rate: 1-normal, 2-intensive, 3-exhaustiveEffort Hours = Hours x Effort rate coefficientSupervision Agreement: 1-agree, 0.5-doubt, 0-disagreeSupervision Average from all supervisor's agreementsResult Hours = EHours x AvgSupervisionRHours Sum = total sum of all activity itemsgByTab = votes by ACT%GAP = Shared - ByTab

**ACT%** = % of RHours **Shared** = votes by sharing

### Team COMPETENCY table

3	3	SeN:		Team COMPETENCY table											Sum:	272
ſ	Mer	nber	Item	Competence Item	Duration	Time	0 - 1	Fields:			-	4 - P	ractice	•	сом	CYears
П	D	Code	Ν	Specification	From - To	Years	F-1	F-2	F-3	F-4	F-5	Туре	GenC	FieC	IsField	CYears
	3	MiDo	1	Primary school in Tosanovice and Hnojnik (cz)	1970-78	8						1	1	1	0	8
3	3	MiDo	2	High school in Cesky Tesin (cz)	1978-82	4						2	4	6	0	16

Tea	m COI	MPETEN	CY table	101 21						
Main	Data Prot	ection			)N 2	21				
M	ember:	• Set:	•							
Me	ember	COMp	etence	COM Votes						
ID	Code	CYears	COM %	Shared	ByTab	GAP				
1	YaJo	94	21.36	4	4	0				
2	GeLo	74	16.82	8	4	4				
3	MiDo	272	61.82	9	13	-4				
4										

Competence Item Specification

Duration Time: From - To - Years

Competence Field of education or experience

**Com Type**: Primary school, High school, University, Practice **General C**oefficient for a com out of the proposal's field **Field C**oefficient for a competence in the proposal's field **IsField** flag indicating if a given proposal Is com in Field **CYears =** general or field Coefficient x Years of competence **CYears Sum =** total sum of all competency items

COM% = % of CYears ByTab = votes by COM% CYears Sum = total sum of all competency items

Team INVESTMENT table

1	SeN:	SeN: Team INVESTMENT table								Euro Sum:	1310.36	Refund Sum:	0.00	Force Sum:	1310.36
M	ember	Item		Date	e - Purpose	e - Account	- Entry -	Note	CHF	- INVes	stment	Refu	inded Investi	ment	INVforce
ID	Code	N	Date	Purpose	Acc	count nui E	ntry num	Note	Currency	Amount	Euro Value	Date	Euro Value	Percentage	EuroINV
1	Yalo	1	01.06.2017	Google Adv	vords			2000 Rs was offere	d by g INR	2000	27.52				27.52
1	YaJo	2	03.06.2017	Gemmi Lod	ge pre CH	80 0878 4	016 2266	20% 5n 2p (CHF 35)	x5x2xC CHF	70	64.57				64.57
Ma	eam <sup>in Data</sup> Memb	am INVESTMENT table					21	Date Curre	- Purpos ency for a	e - Acco a given	<b>ount - E</b> Amount	ntry - No	ote of a	contrib	ution
Ν	/lemb	mber INVestment INV					otes	Amo	unt in a g	given Cι	irrency				
IL	) Co	de l	EuroINV	INV %	Shared	d ByTa	ıb Gi	AP Euro	Value =	Amount	t in EUR				
1	Ya	ola	1'310	24.70	8	6		<sup>3</sup> Refu	nded Inv	estmen	t: Date.	Furo Va	alue. %	of an An	nount
2	Ge	Lo	2'494	47.01	7	9	-	3	_				. –		·······································
3	Mi	Do	717	13.52	6 3 3 INVfor				orce Euro	$\mathbf{DINV} = \mathbf{F}$	Refunde	d Invest	ment F	orce par	ameter
4	En	nNi	92	1.74	4 0 0 0 Eurol				uroINV Force Sum = total sum of all investment ite					ems	
5	5 HeJe 692 13.04 0 3 -3					3	Member		IN	Vestment	votes sh	aring			

INV% = % of EuroINV TAB ByTab = votes by INV%

Me	ember									
ID	Code	vl1	vl2	vI3	vl4	vI5	vl6	vI7	ТАВ	GAP
1	YaJo	2	3	2	3	2	3	1	5	3

### Sharing ACTIVITY votes

Me	ember		A	CTivity	vote	s shari	ng		A	CT Vote	s		
ID	Code	vA1	vA2	vA3	vA4	vA5	vA6	vA7	Shared	ByTab	GAP		
1	YaJo	2	2	3	3	3	3	1	8	10	-2		
2	GeLo	1	1	3	1	3	3	2	5	0	5		
3	MiDo	2	2	1	1	1	1	3	8	10	-2		
4													
D	onor	Vote	Acce	eptor	Last Change				Why and for what				
ID	Code	vXn	ID	Code		Date &	k Time	2	Reasons & Thanksgiving				
1	YaJo	vA1	2	GeLo	25.0	8.201	6 22:4	3:18					
1	YaJo	vA2	2	GeLo	26.0	8.201	6 00:1	2:25					
1	YaJo	vA3	3	MiDo									
1	YaJo	vA4	3	MiDo									
1	YaJo	vA5	3	MiDo									
1	YaJo	vA6	3	MiDo									
1	YaJo	vA7	1	YaJo									

Team Member identified by ID and Code name vA1 vA2 vA3 - 3 Free Votes shared free to others vA4 vA5 vA6 - 3 Tied Votes shared reducing GAP vA7 - 1 Fixed Vote kept by owner or free shared ACT Shared - votes shared to a team member TAB ByTab - votes according to the ACT table GAP = (Shared - ByTab) votes difference Donor FROM WHOM are votes shared Acceptor TO WHOM are votes shared

Me	ember			1	ACTivit	ty vote	es sha	ring		
ID	Code	vA1	vA2	vA3	vA4	vA5	vA7	ТАВ	GAP	
1	YaJo	2	2	3	3	3	3	1	10	-2

### Sharing COMPETENCY votes

Me	ember		CO	<b>N</b> peter	nc <mark>y</mark> vo	tes sh	aring		C	OM Vot	es		
ID	Code	vC1	vC2	vC3	vC4	vC5	vC6	vC7	Shared	ByTab	GAP		
1	YaJo	2	2	3	2	3	3	1	4	4	0		
2	GeLo	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	8	4	4		
3	MiDo	2	2	1	2	1	2	3	9	13	-4		
4													
D	onor	Vote	Acce	eptor		Last C	hange	;	Why	and for	r what		
ID	Code	vXn	ID	Code		Date &	& Time	?	Reasons & Thanksgiving				
1	YaJo	vC1	2	GeLo	25.0	8.201	6 22:4	3:18					
1	YaJo	vC2	2	GeLo	26.0	8.201	6 00:1	2:25					
1	YaJo	vC3	3	MiDo									
1	YaJo	vC4	2	GeLo									
1	YaJo	vC5	3	MiDo									
1	YaJo	vC6	3	MiDo									
1	YaJo	vC7	1	YaJo									

Team Member identified by ID and Code name vC1 vC2 vC3 - 3 Free Votes shared free to others vC4 vC5 vC6 - 3 Tied Votes shared reducing GAP vC7 - 1 Fixed Vote kept by owner or free shared COM Shared - votes shared to a team member TAB ByTab - votes according to the COM table GAP = (Shared - ByTab) votes difference Donor FROM WHOM are votes shared Acceptor TO WHOM are votes shared

Me	ember			CO	Mpete	ency v	otes s	haring					
ID	Code	vC1	vC2	vC3	vC4	vC5	vC6	vC7 TAB GAP					
1	YaJo	2	2	3	2	3	3	1	4	0			

Me	ember		INV	estme	nt vo	tes sh	aring		I	NV Vot	es		
ID	Code	vl1	vl2	vl3	vl4	vI5	vl6	vI7	Shared	ByTab	GA	P	
1	YaJo	2	3	2	3	2	3	1	8	6	2		
2	GeLo	1	3	3	1	1	1	2	7	9	-2	)	
3	MiDo	2	2	2	1	1	1	3	6	3	3		
4													
Do	onor	Vote	Acce	eptor		Last C	hange	;	Why	and fo	r what	t	
ID	Code	vXn	ID	Code		Date &	& Time	?	Reasons & Thanksgivin				
1	YaJo	vl1	2	GeLo	25.0	8.201	6 22:4	3:18					
1	YaJo	vl2	3	MiDo	26.0	8.201	6 00:1	2:25					
1	YaJo	vI3	2	GeLo									
1	YaJo	vl4	3	MiDo									
1	YaJo	vl5	2	GeLo									
1	YaJo	vl6	3	MiDo									
1	YaJo	vI7	1	YaJo									

# Sharing INVESTMENT votes

Team Member identified by ID and Code name vl1 vl2 vl3 - 3 Free Votes shared free to others vl4 vl5 vl6 - 3 Tied Votes shared reducing GAP vl7 - 1 Fixed Vote kept by owner or free shared INV Shared - votes shared to a team member TAB ByTab - votes according to the INV table GAP = (Shared - ByTab) votes difference Donor FROM WHOM are votes shared Acceptor TO WHOM are votes shared

Me	ember			IN	Vestm	ent v	otes sl	haring		
ID	Code	vl1	vl2	v/3	vl4	vI5	vl6	vI7	ТАВ	GAP
1	YaJo	2	3	2	3	2	3	1	5	3



### ion21Swiss M21 Cell Architecture

### M21 Vertical Architecture:

Group of up to 21 members enables to follow ideas and proposals of all colleagues using the ion21Swiss cooperation system. A complex project team with more than 40 people should be therefore structured into ion21Cells with 21 (+/- 3) members.



**ion21Grouping** enables to each ion21Swiss team member act as a **Sovereign** on the *Base cell level* and became a **Presenter** of *Primary* and *Secondary proposals* on higher levels among 21 members up to the *Top level*. Sovereigns approve the *Navigation proposal* in the third voting round R3 on the Base level.





### 1-2-3 run of the PRIMARY Proposal:



Support of the Primary proposal (PP) starts at the Base level by Sovereigns of the cell, where the PP was submitted: **M1 point** - one round at the **Base cell level** with a weight of one vote (YES or NO). The PP is accepted if its support reaches the Primary Proposal Level (**PPL** % of supported members).

The **submitter** of the PP accepted on the Base level becomes its **Presenter** up to the Top level; Presenters of the former proposal became **Delegates** on higher levels (initially delegated by the cell of lower level). Higher levels above the Base 1-cell level: 2-board, 3-ship, 4-fleet ... to the **Top level - M2 point** 

**Presenter** and **Delegates** (usually presenters of the former proposal) support (YES) or decline (NO) the PP with the weight of votes according to the number of Sovereigns represented.

The Left A of M21 symbolizes assessment of the PP from Base to Top level (M1  $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$  M2), by Presenters only from one branch. PP approved up to the Top level is sent (M2  $\rightarrow$  M3) to all Sovereigns concerned who can formulate Secondary proposals (specific variants of the Primary proposal).

**M1-M2 run UP** = presentation and approving of the PRIMARY Proposal (PP) Left A12 run: (**M1** point) **Base** level  $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$  (**M2** point) **Top** level PP support by Sovereigns on the Base cell level and Presenters on higher branch levels.

### M2-M3 run DOWN = conversion of an approved PP into Secondary Proposal (SP)

Left A23 run: (M2 point) Top level → (M3 point) Base level

Info for Sovereigns on all Base cells they can submit Secondary proposals for PP accepted on the top level.



### **3-4-5run** of the SECONDARY Proposals:



Harmonization of the Secondary proposals (SPs) starts by Sovereigns of all Base cells affected by the PP. Submitter of the winning SP becomes his Presenter on higher levels (2-board, 3-ship, 4-fleet ... Top level).

Sovereigns harmonize supported SPs in the first R1 and second round R2 on the Base 1-level (point M3):
R1 : by shared ACI-votes of Activity, Competence and Investments in points (-3), (-2), (-1), 0, (+1), (+2), (+3)
R2 : by two votes in points (-6), (-5), (-4), 0, (+4), (+5), (+6); with stating their choice to others in writing

Presenters harmonize the winning SPs in the first R1 and second round R2 on higher levels (2,3 ... Top):
R1 : by a *sum of the weighted votes* from the previous 1st round in points (-3), (-2), (-1), 0, (+1), (+2), (+3)
R2 : by a *sum of the weighted votes* from the previous 2nd round in points (-6), (-5), (-4), 0, (+4), (+5), (+6)

**Sovereigns approve the Navigation proposal NP** in the third voting round R3 on the Base level (point **M5**): **R3** : by *one vote* in points (-7), 0, (+7); with giving feedback to others by stating the choice in writing . The **NP is accepted** if it reaches the level of compliance (parameter **NPL %**) in the absolute majority of cells.

**M3-M4 run UP** = selection and merging of the SECONDARY Proposals (SPs) Right A34 run: (**M3** point) **Base** level  $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$  (**M4** point) **Top** level SPs selection by Sovereigns on the Base cell level and Presenters on higher branch levels.

**M4-M5 run DOWN** = approving of the NAVIGATION Proposal (NP) Right A45 run: (**M4** point) **Top** level  $\rightarrow$  (**M5** point) **Base** level Approval of Navigation proposal by Sovereigns on the Base cell level.



### ion21Swiss RUNNING step by step

### ion21Swiss initialization: iniA + iniB + iniC

Step iniA: ion21Swiss Project - teamwork project with navigation: Better Perspective + Feelings + Results

- iniA1 project name, subject, description
- iniA2 aims, goals and objectives of the project
- iniA3 project context, local and global priorities

Step iniB: ion21Swiss Team - put together team members participating on the current project

- iniB1 discuss the advantages of the ion21Swiss approach
- iniB2 get acquainted with the team Fair Play Rights
- iniB3 fill in your personal data in the ion21Team table
- Step iniC: ion21Swiss Coop set the starting values of cooperation parameters iteratively adjusted
  - iniC1 system pars: P1-SupLev P2-PrimProp P3-SecProp P4-ComShar P5-VotR1 P6-VotR2 P7-VotR3
  - iniC2 set team parameters: P8-ComGen P9-ComField P10-Supervis P11-InvForce
  - iniC3 set ion21Swiss project parameters: Project Motivation Parameters



### ion21Swiss running: runA + runB + runC

Step runA: ion21Swiss TeamINFO - Team Information Process

- runA1 fill in your education and experience data in Team COMpetency table
- runA2 register your project activities into Team ACTivity table & share your 7 ACT-votes
- runA3 register your project activities into Team INVestment table & share your 7 INV-votes
- Step runB: ion21Swiss TeamOPTI Team Optimization Process
  - runB1 submit optimization Primary Proposal ACP-PP & set current Voting MODE & support ACP-PP
  - runB2 submit Secondary Proposal variant ACP-SP & set current Voting FIELDS & support ACP-SP
  - runB3 take part in the Expert Discussion & share your 7 COM-votes & 1st Correction of ACP-SP
- Step runC: ion21Swiss TeamNAVI Team Navigation Process
  - runC1 vote for or against ACP-SP variants using ACI-votes in 1st Round & 2nd Correction of ACP-SP
  - runC2 select one from two winning ACP-SPs by two votes in 2nd Round & 3rd Correction of ACP-SP
  - runC3 approve Navigation ACP-NP using one vote in 3rd Round & Implement-Inspection Teams







### ion21Swiss INFO flowchart



### TeamINFO : Project Information Sharing - Project Lifetime

- Thematic discussion on the shared ideas
- Activity, Competency and Investment data in ACI-tables
- Sharing Activity and Investment votes (7vA, 7vI)

### TeamINFO : Primary Proposal Support - PPA / PPN / PPP

- Submitting of the Primary proposal (PP)
- Subject discussion on the given PP
- Team members' support of the PP

From - To:	21.09.2016	26.09	.2016							
Primary prop	osal	Genera	l one - T	RUNI	K of deci	sion-mal	king t	ee		
Support level	- PPL :	15	%							
1st ACP link				Cu	rrent Vo	ting MOI	DE:			X
Timespan		value	unit		C Acute	9			$\leq$	
- Acute	PPA	2 hours			Normal				Π	Л
- Normal	PPN	5	days		e Nom				የኦጌ	ι₩⁄л{}_
- Precise	PPP	1	weeks		O Preci	se			$V \sim$	$\vee$ V
Supplements	of ACP-propos	al:								
- Analysis	AnaStr	Strateg	ic aspec	ts, Str	ategic co	ontext, St	rateg	c impacts		
- Conformity	ConNav	Navigat	tion dim	ensio	ns: Bette	r Perspec	ctive,	Better Fee	elings	, Better Results
- Prognosis	ProGen	Genera	l local f	oreca	st, Gener	al global	impa	ts, Gener	al key	y parameters

of authors.

# ion21Swiss OPTI flowchart



### TeamOPTI : Secondary Proposal Support - SPA / SPN / SPP

- Submitting of the Secondary proposals (SPs)
- Subject discussion on the presented SPs
- Team members' support of the SPs

#### TeamOPTI : Sharing Competency Votes - SCA / SCN / SCP

- Expert discussion on the presented SPs
- Sharing Competency votes to team experts (7vC)
- Optimization of the System and Project Parameters Setting

From - To:	26.09.2016	01.10	.2016				
Secondary pr	oposals	Variant	s - BRA	NCHES of decis	sion-making t	ree	
Support level	- SPL :	25	%				
2nd ACP link							X
Timespan		value	unit			$\leq$	00
- Acute	SPA	3	hours				
- Normal	SPN	5	days			∎łул	.₩⁄л{}■
- Precise	SPP	2	weeks	i		$\vee$ $\vee$	$\vee$ V
Supplements of	of ACP-propos	al:					
- Analysis	AnaEco	Econor	nical as	pects, Economi	ic context, Eco	onomical impa	cts
- Conformity	ConLoc	Local p	riorities	, Current statu	s, Future impa	cts	
- Prognosis	ProSpe	Specific	local f	orecast, Specifi	ic global impa	cts, Specific ke	y parameters

### ion21Swiss NAVI flowchart



#### **TeamNAVI : Voting Round 1st**

- R1A / R1N / R1P

- C1 Proposal's Correction of supported Secondary proposals (SPs)
- R1 voting round for the 1<sup>st</sup> selection and merging of SPs
- Subject discussion about the SPs

#### TeamNAVI : Voting Round 2nd

#### - R2A / R2N / R2P

- C2 Proposal's Correction of two winning Secondary proposals (SPs)
- R1 Voting round for the 2<sup>nd</sup> selection and merging of SPs
- Subject discussion about the SPs

#### TeamNAVI : Voting Round 3rd

#### - R3A / R3N / R3P

- C3 Proposal's Correction of the Navigation proposal (NP the final SP)
- R1 Voting round for the approval of the NP
- Subject discussion about the NP

1	A B	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т
1	Team M	<b>IAVIGATION</b>	orocess																
2	1																		
3	From - To:	01.10.2016	04.10	.2016		From - To:	04.10.2016	07.10	.2016		From - To:	07.10.2016	10.10	.2016		From - To:	10.10.2016	13.10	.2016
4	COMpetend	<mark>y votes sharing</mark>		<u>Votes</u>		1st VOTING R	ROUND	<u>1stF</u>	ROUND		2nd VOTING	ROUND	2ndf	ROUND		3rd VOTING	ROUND	3rdF	ROUND
5	During the E	opert Discussion	n before	Voting		Shared votes	in inner points	(+/-1, +/	/-2, +/-3	)	Two votes in	medium points	(+/-3, +	/-4, +/-!	5)	One vote in c	outer points (+/	-5, +/-6	+/-7)
6	Timespan		value	unit		Timespan		value	unit		Timespan		value	unit		Timespan		value	unit
7	- Acute	SCA	2	hours		- Acute	R1A	3	hours		- Acute	R2A	1	hours		- Acute	R3A	1	hours
8	- Normal	SCN	3	days		- Normal	R1N	3	days		- Normal	R2N	3	days		- Normal	R3N	3	days
9	- Precise	SCP	1	weeks		- Precise	R1P	1	weeks		- Precise	R2P	1	weeks		- Precise	R3P	2	weeks
10	First Correct	on of accepted	ACP-pr	oposals		Second Corre	ection of two A	CP-prop	osals		Third Correc	tion of winning	ACP-pr	oposal		Accepting or	refusing of AC	P-propo	osal



# Appendix – A : ion21Swiss PARAMETERS

Proposal's Support LEVELSPrimary proposal- PPLSecondary proposal- SPL	- perce [ % ] [ % ]	entage of members needed for an approval of the proposal percentage of members supporting the Primary proposal (PP) percentage of members supporting the Secondary proposal (SP)
Navigation proposal - NPL	[%]	percentage of members supporting the Navigation proposal (NP)
Decision-making MODESAcute- A[ hours rangeNormal- N[ days range ]Precise- P[ weeks range	- time ] ]	urgency of a team decision making processes short timespans for steps of ion21Swiss urgent decisions middle timespans for steps of ion21Swiss common decisions long timespans for steps of ion21Swiss strategic decisions
Primary Proposal Support Primary Proposal Acute Primary Proposal Normal Primary Proposal Precise	- PPA - PPN - PPP	<ul> <li>time ranges for the support of the Primary proposal (PP)</li> <li>[hours] timespan for the support of an PP in Acute mode</li> <li>[days] timespan for the support of an PP in Normal mode</li> <li>[weeks] timespan for the support of an PP in Precise mode</li> </ul>
Secondary Proposal Support Secondary Proposal Acute Secondary Proposal Normal Secondary Proposal Precise	t - SPA - SPN - SPP	<ul> <li>time ranges for the support of Secondary proposals (SPs)</li> <li>[hours] timespan for the support of SPs in Acute mode</li> <li>[days] timespan for the support of SPs in Normal mode</li> <li>[weeks] timespan for the support of SPs in Precise mode</li> </ul>
Sharing Competencies Sharing Competencies Acute Sharing Competencies Norm Sharing Competencies Precis	- time - al - se -	sca[ hours ] timespan for the sharing COM in Acute modeSCN[ days ] timespan for the sharing COM in Normal modeSCP[ weeks ] timespan for the sharing COM in Precise mode
<b>Voting Round 1st</b> Voting Round 1st Acute Voting Round 1st Normal Voting Round 1st Precise	- time - R1A - R1N - R1P	ranges for the 1st selection and merging of Secondary proposals (SPs)[ hours ] timespan for the 1st selection of SPs in Acute mode[ days ] timespan for the 1st selection of SPs in Normal mode[ weeks ] timespan for the 1st selection of SPs in Precise mode
Voting Round 2nd Voting Round 2nd Acute Voting Round 2nd Normal Voting Round 2nd Precise	- time - <b>R2A</b> - <b>R2N</b> - <b>R2P</b>	ranges for the 2 <sup>nd</sup> selection and merging of Secondary proposals (SPs)[ hours ] timespan for the 2 <sup>nd</sup> selection of SPs in Acute mode[ days ] timespan for the 2 <sup>nd</sup> selection of SPs in Normal mode[ weeks ] timespan for the 2 <sup>nd</sup> selection of SPs in Precise mode
Voting Round 3rd Voting Round 3rd Acute Voting Round 3rd Normal Voting Round 3rd Precise	- time - R3A - R3N - R3P	ranges for the approval of the Navigation proposal (NP - the final SP)[ hours ] timespan for the approval of NP in Acute mode[ days ] timespan for the approval of NP in Normal mode[ weeks ] timespan for the approval of NP in Precise mode
Coefficients of COMPETENCHigh-school general- HGCHigh-school in a field- HFCUniversity general- UGC	Y [×] [×] [×]	<ul> <li>weights for time of education or practice out/in the decision field</li> <li>multiplicator of years spent in high-school out of decision field</li> <li>multiplicator of years spent in high-school in the decision field</li> <li>multiplicator of years spent in a university out of decision field</li> </ul>
University in a field- UFCPractice general- PGCPractice in a field- PFC© 2018 by ion21swiss.com - Al	[ x ] [ x ] [ x ] Rights	multiplicator of years spent in a university in the decision field multiplicator of years of practise/experience out of decision field multiplicator of years of practise/experience in the decision field Reserved. This program may not be copied without the consent of authors.



Activity SUPERVISORS	- supervisors of	the data validity in t	he Activity table of team members
Number of members - SVM	[n] .	count of the team	supervisors
Duration of the term - SVT	[ days ] .	timespan of superv	visor's term
<b>Refunded INVESTMENT force</b> Refunded Investment Force	e - force o - <b>RIF</b> [%]	of refunded items in percentage of a re	the Investment table of team members funded investment amount
Project MOTIVATION Parame	eters - project	t related motivation	parameters
DISPOSAL rights TERM lo	engths V	VAGES policy	

# Appendix – B : ion21Swiss RUNTIMES

#### TeamINFO : Project Information Sharing - Project Lifetime

- Thematic discussion on the shared ideas
- Activity, Competency and Investment data in ACI-tables
- Sharing Activity and Investment votes (7vA, 7vI)

#### TeamINFO : Primary Proposal Support - PPA / PPN / PPP

- Submitting of the Primary proposal (PP)
- Subject discussion on the given PP
- Team members' support of the PP

#### TeamOPTI : Secondary Proposal Support - SPA / SPN / SPP

- Submitting of the Secondary proposals (SPs)
- Subject discussion on the presented SPs
- Team members' support of the SPs

#### TeamOPTI : Sharing Competency Votes - SCA / SCN / SCP

- Expert discussion on the presented SPs
- Sharing Competency votes to team experts (7vC)
- Optimization of the System and Project Parameters Setting

#### TeamNAVI : Voting Round 1st - R1A / R1N / R1P

- C1 Proposal's Correction of supported Secondary proposals (SPs)
- R1 voting round for the 1<sup>st</sup> selection and merging of SPs
- Subject discussion about the SPs

#### TeamNAVI : Voting Round 2nd - R2A / R2N / R2P

- C2 Proposal's Correction of two winning Secondary proposals (SPs)
- R1 Voting round for the 2<sup>nd</sup> selection and merging of SPs
- Subject discussion about the SPs

#### TeamNAVI : Voting Round 3rd - R3A / R3N / R3P

- C3 Proposal's Correction of the Navigation proposal (NP the final SP)
- R1 Voting round for the approval of the NP
- Subject discussion about the NP